STRATEGIC OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

TASK AND FINISH GROUP: TERMS OF REFERENCE

| Name of Group | | Asset Review Task and Finish Group | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|---|-------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Membership | | 1 | Councill | or R Powell | | Chair | |
| Minimum of 4 | | 2 | | or K Payne | | Vice Chair | |
| members, | | 3 | | or N Begy | | Vice Gridii | |
| maximum of 6 | | 4 | | or S Harvey | | | |
| members. | | 5 | | or S Lambe | | | |
| | | | Couriciii | OI O Lailibe | | | |
| Cabinet | | Cou | ncillor Ga | ıle Waller: L | eader of the Council | | |
| Member(s) | | Councillor Andrew Johnson: Deputy Leader and Portfolio Holder for | | | | | |
| Responsible: | | Governance and Resources | | | | | |
| Mark with an X | | Councillor Paul Browne: Portfolio Holder for Planning, Property and | | | | | |
| Wark War ari X | X | Economic Development | | | | | |
| | | Councillor Diane Ellison: Portfolio Holder for Adults and Health | | | | | |
| | | Councillor Tim Smith: Portfolio Holder for Children and Families | | | | | |
| | | Councillor Christine Wise: Portfolio Holder for Transport, Environmen | | | | | |
| | | | Commun | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | |
| Relevant | | Angela Wakefield, Director of Law and Governance Dawn Godfrey, Strategic Director for Children and Families Kim Sorsky, Strategic Director for Adult Services and Health Kirsty Nutton, Strategic Director of Resources | | | | | |
| Director(s): | | | | | | | |
| Mark with an X | | | | | | | |
| | Χ | | | | | | |
| | | Mike Sandys, Director of Public Health LCC/RCC | | | | | |
| | | | | Strategic D | irector for Places | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Lead Officer(s) | cer(s) Andrew Gordo | | ordon | | Interim Head of Proper | rty | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Officer Support: Jane Narey, So | | y, Scrutii | ny Officer | Tel: 01572 758311 | | | |
| | | | | Email: democraticservi | ices@rutland.gov.ul | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Purpose of the G | roup | | | | | | |
| Specify exactly values | what tl | he Gro | up will be | The great | oup will scrutinise the re | commended options | |
| examining. | | | | | ise 1 (Catmose House, I | | |
| Be clear about v | | ne Gro | ıp will | | se Cottage) of the Coun | | |
| not be looking a | | -الديدات | _ | 1 | ss plans and Draft Asse | 0, | |
| Identify how this Corporate Plan | | | | | oup will not scrutinise the | | |
| Corporate Plan the relevant Stra | | • | lentiny | other 4 | key assets included in t | the Asset Review. | |
| the relevant off | ategic | AIIII. | | • The gre | oup will not scrutinise the | e work done to date | |
| | | | | | of the Asset Povious | | |

as part of the Asset Review.

| Strategic Aim: | х | Tackling the climate emergency |
|-----------------------|---|---|
| Mark with an X | X | A diverse and sustainable local economy |
| | X | Support the most vulnerable |
| | Х | Provide good public services |

Criteria for the Group

- Why has this particular topic been considered to be a priority issue for scrutiny?
- Does it stand up to the PAPER test?

Public Interest – is the matter of concern to residents?

Ability to have an impact – can Scrutiny influence and change things?

Performance – is it an underperforming area or service?

Extent – does it affect a number of residents or a large geographic area?

Replication – is it a new matter? i.e. not discussed in the past 6 months or currently being dealt with

- Which of the 4 core scrutiny principles will it satisfy?
 - 1. Provides constructive 'critical friend' challenge.
 - 2. Amplifies the voice and concerns of the public.
 - 3. Is led by independent people who take responsibility for their role.
 - 4. Drives improvement in public services.

- The Corporate Leadership Team has requested the involvement of the Strategic Overview and Scrutiny Committee to assist in the review of council assets -Phase 1.
- Public Interest / Extent: The outcome of the asset review may affect a high number of residents. This will lead to high public interest in the issue.
- The creation of an Asset Review Task and Finish Group will satisfy all 4 core scrutiny principles.

Objectives of the Group

- Specify what the Group will be seeking to achieve.
- Can the objectives be defined using the SMART objective framework?

Specific Measurable Achievable Realistic Time Limited

Stage 1

 To comment to Cabinet in advance of its meeting on 16th April 2024 on the proposed business plan options for Phase 1 identifying any opportunities, risks or issues.

Stage 2: TBC

 To be involved at each stage of the development of the business cases for the preferred option(s), test any assumptions made and make recommendations to Cabinet.

Methodology

What types of enquiry will be used to gather evidence?

- Data research
- Site visits / job shadows / observations
- Interviews with key officers / service users / witnesses / experts
- Questionnaires and surveys
- Legislation

Stage 1

 Evidence research from Capital Programme Board papers

Stage 2: TBC

 Interviews with key officers, possible questionnaires for service users and staff and visits/ information from other local authorities.

Resources & Budget Requirements

What resources and/or budget will be required by the Group?

This should include detail on who will organise meeting dates, take any notes required and who will write the final and any interim reports.

- No budget will be required.
- Officer time to attend/support the meetings.
- Both meetings will be held virtually.
- Scrutiny Officer will arrange/book both virtual meetings.
- A member of the Task and Finish Group will produce brief notes from each meeting.
- The Chair, with the support of the Scrutiny Officer, will produce a written statement for approval by the SOSC regarding comments to Cabinet on the proposed option for the 3 assets in Phase 1 and any identified opportunities, risks or issues.
- The Chair, with the support of the Scrutiny Officer, will produce a final report for presentation to the SOSC.

| Proposed Time Scales | 18/3/24 | Draft evidence papers to be available from Capital Programme Board |
|--|-----------------------------|---|
| Identify key meeting dates and any deadlines for reports or decisions. | 19/3/24 - 10/4/24 | Review of papers and recommendations to Cabinet. Recommendations to Cabinet will need to be submitted to Democratic Services by 2 nd April 2024 for publication on the 8 th April 2024. There is no SOSC meeting before the 2 nd April 2024 to approve the recommendations BUT the SOSC could vote at its meeting on the 21 st March to allow the Chair to approve the recommendations on its behalf. |
| | 16/4/24 | STAGE 1 - Cabinet meeting to review options |
| | April - December 2024 | Review of development of business cases. |
| | 31/3/25 | STAGE 2 - Completion of final recommendations to Cabinet. |

| TOR Approved by SOSC Date: |
|----------------------------|
|----------------------------|